Contribution ID: a19f336f-0e5d-452d-94a2-1817472281a8

Date: 08/04/2021 14:09:00

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON THE EVALUATION AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF
DIRECTIVE 2009/128/EC ESTABLISHING A FRAMEWORK FOR COMMUNITY ACTION TO
ACHIEVE THE SUSTAINABLE USE OF PESTICIDES

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

About this consultation

Target group

All EU as well as non-EU citizens are welcome to contribute to this consultation.

Objective of the consultation

The public consultation aims to collect the views of citizens on the EU legislation on the use of pesticides for agricultural and non-agricultural use as well as by professional and non-professional users. It seeks to receive information on how citizens view the strengths and weaknesses of the current legislation and the perceived level of protection of human and animal health and the environment

What is a pesticide? A pesticide is a product that prevents, destroys, or controls a harmful organism (the 'pest') or disease. The most important part of a pesticide is the so-called active substance. Just as in a drug treating human diseases, the active substance in a pesticide is the key component that brings about the desired effect. Pesticides can be chemicals or microorganisms. They are further classified into categories depending on their hazard to health and the environment.

How is pesticide use controlled at EU level? Pesticides (plant protection products) are used against plant pests, plant diseases and for weed control mainly in agriculture but also in forestry and green urban areas. Since pesticides can have harmful effects on the environment and on human health, they are strictly regulated at EU level through "DIRECTIVE 2009/128/EC, establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides", also named the Sustainable Use Directive (SUD).

The EU Member States are involved in implementing the rules of the sustainable use Directive. The Directive sets the general objectives, while the Member States transpose them into national quantitative objectives, targets, measures and timetables via their National Action Plans. The European Commission established harmonised risk indicators that are used by Member States for risk management at national level. The Commission evaluates progress on the objectives based on national reporting on these indicators.

The <u>2020 implementation report</u> prepared by the Commission found significant shortcomings in the implementation, application and enforcement of various elements of the sustainable use Directive by Member States. In view of established deficiencies and in line with the ambitions under the Commission's Green Deal to reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides, the Commission is planning a revision of the

sustainable use Directive to address its limited effectiveness. The Commission will base its revision on a <u>ba</u> ck-to-back evaluation and impact assessment.

This Public Consultation is part of a broader <u>consultation strategy</u>, which includes stakeholder surveys designed to collect the views of stakeholder organisations and Member State Authorities.

Further information on the consultation strategy, as well as links to the stakeholders surveys, can be found on a <u>dedicated website</u> of the European Union. The responses will be taken into consideration in preparation of a Staff Working Document, written by the European Commission, presenting the results of the back-to-back assessment.

How to submit your response

Your views are important. Please tell us what you think and fill the online questionnaires.

The questionnaire for citizens is accessible in all official EU languages. You can submit your reply in any of the 23 official EU languages. As there may be delays in translating replies submitted in some languages, contributions in English are welcome as they will help to process the survey more swiftly.

You can pause at any time and continue later. Once you have submitted your answers, you will be able to download a copy of your completed questionnaire. Questions marked with an asterisk are compulsory.

Please note that in this questionnaire, we do not intend to obtain data relating to identifiable persons. Therefore, in case you will describe a particular experience or situation, please do it in a way that will not allow linking to a particular individual, whether it is you or somebody else.

Received contributions may be published on the Internet. It is important that you read the specific *privacy statement* attached to this consultation for information on how your personal data and contribution will be dealt with.

We thank you in advance for your time and input.

Related Web Links

<u>COMBINED EVALUATION ROADMAP / INCEPTION IMPACT ASSESSMENT</u> for revision of the sustainable use of pesticides Directive

<u>Directive 2009/128/EC</u> of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009, establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides

<u>Commission Directive (EU) 2019/782</u> amending Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the establishment of harmonised risk indicators

Regulation (EC) No 1185/2009 concerning statistics on pesticides

Directive 2009/127/EC amending Directive 2006/42/EC with regard to machinery for pesticide application

Contact

European Commission
Directorate-General for Health and Food Safety
Directorate F - Health and food audits and analysis
Unit F3: Plants and organics

+32 2 299 11 11 (Commission switchboard) SANTE-F3-SUDREVIEW@ec.europa.eu

About you

Romanian

Slovak

*Language of my contribution
Bulgarian
Croatian
Czech
Danish
Dutch
English
Estonian
Finnish
French
German
Greek
Hungarian
Irish
Italian
Latvian
Lithuanian
Maltese
Polish
Portuguese

0	Slovenian
0	Spanish
0	Swedish
+1	
1 am	giving my contribution as
	Academic/research institution
•	Business association
0	Company/business organisation
0	Consumer organisation
0	EU citizen
0	Environmental organisation
0	Non-EU citizen
0	Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
0	Public authority
	Trade union
	Other
*\\/bic	sh anacific atakahaldar araun da yay ranragant?
VVIIIC	ch specific stakeholder group do you represent?
	Professional user of pesticides in agricultural setting, forestry or horticulture
	Professional user of pesticides in non-agricultural, forestry or horticulture
	settings (e.g. in municipalities, sports facilities, along transport routes)
	Person applying pesticides on behalf of a farmer or non-agricultural user of
0	pesticides (e.g. a contractor using pesticide application equipment)
	Advisor on pesticide use or farm advisory service
0	Manufacturer or retailer of pesticide application equipment
•	Seed industry
	Water industry
	Beekeeper or honey industry
	Pesticides manufacturer
	Pesticides trader, wholesaler or retailer
0	Food retailer
0	Food processor or manufacturer
0	Food importer or exporter
0	non-professional user of pesticides e.g. in my garden

s not belong to any										
0 ,										
of the groups listed above Other										
Saint Martin										
Saint Pierre and Miquelon										
Saint Vincent										
and the										
Grenadines										
Samoa										

AmericanSamoa	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and Príncipe
Angola	EquatorialGuinea	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	MarshallIslands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	SolomonIslands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French Southern and Antarctic Lands	Moldova	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar /Burma	Svalbard and Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden

Bonaire SaintEustatius andSaba	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British IndianOcean Territory	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
British VirginIslands	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	[©] Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island and McDonald Islands	Niue	Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	NorthernMariana Islands	Tonga
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedonia	Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and Caicos Islands
Central African Republic	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
© Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New	United Arab
		Guinea	Emirates
Christmas	Italy	Paraguay	United
Island			Kingdom

0	Clipperton	Jamaica	0	Peru	United States
	Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	0	Philippines	United States
	Islands				Minor Outlying
					Islands
	Colombia	Jersey		Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay
	Comoros	Jordan		Poland	US Virgin
					Islands
	Congo	Kazakhstan		Portugal	Uzbekistan
	Cook Islands	Kenya		Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
	Costa Rica	Kiribati	0	Qatar	Vatican City
	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	0	Réunion	Venezuela
	Croatia	Kuwait		Romania	Vietnam
	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	0	Russia	Wallis and
					Futuna
	Curaçao	Laos		Rwanda	Western
					Sahara
	Cyprus	Latvia		Saint	Yemen
				Barthélemy	
	Czechia	Lebanon		Saint Helena	Zambia
				Ascension and	
				Tristan da	
				Cunha	
	Democratic	Lesotho	0	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
	Republic of the			Nevis	
	Congo				
	Denmark	Liberia		Saint Lucia	

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

39299129772-62

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.

Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the <u>personal data protection provisions</u>

General views concerning the use of pesticides/plant protection products relevant to implementation of the sustainable use Directive

How do you rate your knowledge:

	Good knowledge	Limited knowledge	No knowledge	Don't know /decline to answer
* on appropriate measures to protect the aquatic environment/surface water when using pesticides?	0	0	0	•
* on appropriate measures to reduce human exposure to pesticides when using pesticides close to houses where people live?	0	0	0	•
* of how to safely use pesticides?	0	0	0	•

* of how to safely store pesticides?	0	0	0	•
* of how to safely dispose of pesticides?	0	0	0	•

- * Have you completed a training course concerning the safe use of pesticides?
 - Yes
 - No
 - Don't know/decline to answer
- *In your daily professional or private life do you receive any information about the safe use, storage and disposal of pesticides?
 - Yes
 - No
- *How informed do you believe you are of the impacts that pesticides currently used in the EU may have on the environment and human and animal health?
 - Well informed
 - Informed
 - Somewhat informed
 - Not at all informed
 - Don't know/decline to answer

Please, indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements:

	strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	no opinion
* The pesticides I can buy in the EU are safe for human and animal health and the environment when they are used properly	©	0	•	•	0
* Some pesticides available for purchase in the EU pose greater hazards to human and animal health or the environment than others	©	•	0	©	0
* Chemical pesticides available for purchase in the EU generally pose a higher risk to human and animal health and the environment than non-chemical pesticides	0	•	•	•	•
* It is necessary to reduce the use of chemical pesticides in the EU	•	0	0	0	0
* It is necessary to reduce the risk of chemical pesticides in the EU	•	0	0	0	0

* It is necessary for Member States to set national targets to reduce the risk and use of chemical pesticides	•	0	©	©	0
* It is necessary for the EU to set targets to reduce the risk and use of chemical pesticides	•	0	0	0	0

- *Do you think that the public should be informed when pesticides are being used or sprayed in your local area (for example in the town or village where you live or close to your house, in a local park etc., regardless of whether the pesticide is being used for an agricultural or non-agricultural purpose)?
 - Yes, certainly
 - Yes, probably
 - No , probably not
 - No, certainly not
 - Don't know/decline to answer
- *Are you aware of alternatives to chemical pesticides for the purposes of crop protection in the EU?
 - Yes
 - O No
 - Don't know/decline to answer

Introduction to policy options questions

The sustainable use Directive sets objectives at EU level to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides but lets the Member States design and define the actions and methods to achieve the objectives, while taking into consideration the specifics of each Member State. Various data and reports demonstrate shortcomings in the implementation, application and enforcement of the Directive across Member States. The Commission is planning a revision of the legislation to address the identified problems. This is in line with the ambitions under the Commission's Green Deal to reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides. The purpose of the questions to follow is to determine public opinion on the choice and potential impacts of some possible future policy options.

How important are in your view the following issues for future EU policies on the sustainable use of pesticides?

(please rank in order of importance, 1 - most important, 10 - least important)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

* Enforcement of the existing Directive for example by more frequent audits by the Commission in Member States and taking legal action in case of non-compliance	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Use of new technologies and innovations such as precision farming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Use of more pest-resistant crops that could help to reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0
* Training and guidance for pesticide users to reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Availability of less hazardous and non-chemical pesticides on the market	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Collect data on the use of pesticides in the EU	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
* Inform and educate EU citizens about the risks and potential harmful effects of pesticides	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
* Measures to protect the aquatic environment and drinking water	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Restrict the use of pesticides in certain public areas like parks, nature reserves and others	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Introduce economic measures (e.g. tax, price increase) to reflect the cost of the pesticides' potential negative impact (e.g. on water quality, on human health and on biodiversity) in their price	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	•

Precision Agriculture/Farming involves the use of automation, remote sensing, information and communication technology and other technologies to improve the efficiency of key agricultural management practices by targeting specific areas or crops.

Biodiversity refers to the variety of life and its processes and is closely associated with ecosystems and habitats. Agricultural biodiversity includes:

- all components of biological diversity of relevance for food and agriculture
- all components of biological diversity that constitutes the agro-ecosystem

In your view how effective would the following options be to reduce the use and risk of chemical pesticides in the EU :

(1 - most effective, 10 - least effective)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
* Introduce economic incentives and stimuli for the application of integrated pest management by pesticide users and other alternative methods for pest management	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Promote the expansion of organic farming in the EU	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Increase the price of more hazardous chemical pesticides to discourage and reduce their use	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
* Restrict access to more hazardous chemical pesticides for example by introducing a prescription-like system to purchase them	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Set stricter rules for the use, handling and disposal of pesticides including the recycling of empty containers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
* Reinforce Commission oversight of the implementation of Member States' National Action Plans on the sustainable use of pesticides, including penalties for underperformance	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Promote information on the existence and availability of low-risk and non-chemical alternatives to more hazardous chemical pesticides	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Introduce more detailed labelling or colour codes on pesticides packaging to inform users and purchasers on the hazards they may pose to human and animal health and the environment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Increased sampling and inspection of food produced in the EU for traces of pesticides	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Increased sampling and inspection of food imported from outside the EU for traces of pesticides	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0

In general, what do you think would be the impact of achieving the <u>Green Deal and Farm to Fork strategy</u> objective to reduce the use and risk of pesticides in the EU on:

	a major improvement or increase	some improvement or increase	no change	some dis- improvement or decrease	a major dis- improvement or decrease	don't know /decline to answer
Quality of food produced in the EU	0	•	0	0	0	0
Quantities of food produced in the EU	0	0	0	•	0	0
Affordability of food produced in the EU	0	0	•	0	0	0
Safety of food produced in the EU	0	0	0	0	0	•
EU food security	0	•	0	0	0	0
Quantities of food imported from outside the EU	0	0	0	0	0	•
Incomes/profitability of EU farmers, foresters and horticulturalists	0	0	•	0	0	0
Ability to control pests in the EU	0	0	•	0	0	0
Public health of citizens in the EU	•	0	0	0	0	0
Biodiversity in the EU	•	0	0	0	0	0
Water quality in the EU	•	0	0	0	0	0

Your opinion matters. Please, upload here any document/position paper that you would like to share with us.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

 $86cb5c2a-f5e3-472a-ae87-fa775eebb73a/EurEau_SUD_revision_recommendations.pdf$

Contact

SANTE-F3-SUDREVIEW@ec.europa.eu