Contribution ID: 6153098d-e4df-44a1-b9eb-20f04a4b5329

Date: 24/10/2022 15:18:32

Public Consultation on a possible EU Soil Health Law for protecting, sustainably managing and restoring EU soils

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

The EU Soil Strategy for 2030 'Reaping the benefits of healthy soils for people, food, nature and climate' envisages that all soils in the EU should be in a healthy condition by 2050 and that the protection, sustainable use and restoration of soil should become the norm. The Strategy announces that the Commission will table a new legislative proposal on soil health to ensure the same level of protection to soil that exists for water, the marine environment and air in the EU. Such a legislative initiative will be based on an impact assessment, including a subsidiarity check, and fully respect the better regulation requirements and the competences of Member States.

Soil has mainly been associated with food production, but healthy soils are vital for life on Earth and are an ecosystem delivering many other crucial services, such as energy and raw materials, carbon sequestration, water purification and infiltration. Healthy soils sustain many sectors of the economy, well beyond individual parcels of land, be they privately or publicly owned. Soil is an extremely complex, variable and living medium, hosting more than 25% of all biodiversity on the planet and being the foundation of the food chain. This fragile layer of a few centimetres will need to feed and filter drinking water fit for consumption for a global population of nearly 10 billion people by 2050.

As the largest terrestrial carbon pool on the planet, healthy soils are instrumental for climate adaptation and mitigation and are a key enabler to achieve the objectives of the <u>European Green Deal</u> such as climate neutrality, zero pollution, sustainable food systems and a resilient environment.

However, land and soil are subject to severe degradation processes: 60-70% of soil ecosystems in the EU are estimated to be unhealthy and suffering from continuing degradation resulting in reduced provision of ecosystem services. Soil erosion, soil sealing (i.e. covering soils by concrete or other impermeable material), waste of excavated soils, soil compaction (i.e. compression of the soil, preventing water to infiltrate in soil), diffuse and local soil contamination, salinisation and peatland drainage are the main drivers of land and soil degradation. Most of these drivers are not projected to change favourably in the coming years due to climate change and unsustainable soil management, leading to a further reduction in the provision of ecosystem services.

The drivers and impacts of soil degradation know no borders. An uneven and fragmented response by Member States to tackle soil degradation has led to an uneven playing field for economic operators who have to operate under different rules on soil protection while competing in the same market. The fragmented response has also prevented the halt of soil degradation in the EU and its effective restoration.

To address transboundary impacts of soil degradation, secure equal market conditions, promote policy coherence at EU and national level and thus **be able to achieve the EU goals on climate change**, biodiversity, food security and safety, and water protection, the Commission is working to table a dedicated legislative proposal on soil health by 2023.

Guidance on the questionnaire

This consultation is open to all to provide input to help the Commission prepare its initiative on soil and land protection, sustainable management and restoration, as described in the EU Soil Strategy for 2030.

To ensure a broad feedback, the questionnaire is divided into two parts. The first part (up to q. 13) is more general and intended for everyone, while the second part (from q. 14 to q. 21) is aimed at stakeholders with some expertise on the subject. The first part of the questionnaire may be submitted without the need to complete also the second 'expert' part.

The estimated time for completion is 10 minutes for the first part and a total of 25 minutes if the second part is also completed.

Please read <u>the privacy statement</u> on how personal data associated with your contribution will be processed.

All the responses to this consultation will be assessed and reported in the Commission's impact assessment of the Soil Health Law. We will also produce a stand-alone summary of the results of the consultation.

Thank you for taking part in this consultation.

About you

Text

- *Language of my contribution
 - Bulgarian
 - Croatian
 - Czech
 - Danish
 - Dutch
 - English
 - Estonian

Finnish	
French	
German	
Greek	
Hungarian	
Irish	
Italian	
Latvian	
Lithuanian	
Maltese	
Polish	
Portuguese	
Romanian	
Slovak	
Slovenian	
Spanish	
Swedish	
* First name	
*First name	
* First name Oliver	
Oliver	
Oliver *Surname	
*Surname LOEBEL	
*Surname LOEBEL *Email (this won't be published)	
* Surname LOEBEL * Email (this won't be published) oliver.loebel@eureau.org	
*Surname LOEBEL *Email (this won't be published) oliver.loebel@eureau.org *I am giving my contribution as	
*Surname LOEBEL *Email (this won't be published) oliver.loebel@eureau.org *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution	
*Surname LOEBEL *Email (this won't be published) oliver.loebel@eureau.org *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association	
*Surname LOEBEL *Email (this won't be published) oliver.loebel@eureau.org *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation	
*Surname LOEBEL *Email (this won't be published) oliver.loebel@eureau.org *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation	

Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
*Please indicate the sector you are active in
Agriculture
Agro-industry (chemical inputs, seeds, machinery)
Bio-technology
Construction, urban planning & development
Disaster prevention
Education
Energy (electricity, gas and water)
Environment & nature protection
Financial business (bank, insurance, etc.)
Food/beverage industry
Forestry and hunting
Health and social work
Mining and quarrying
Soil remediation
Tourism/recreation
Waste & waste recycling
Other
Please indicate the other sector
50 character(s) maximum
Drinking water and waste water services
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
EurEau
*Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)

0	Medium	(50 t	o 249	empl	oyees)
---	--------	-------	-------	------	--------

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

39299129772-62			

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

the	entities mentioned. It is a	nai	rmonisation of often divei	gen	it lists and practices.	
0	Afghanistan		Djibouti		Libya	Saint Martin
0	Åland Islands	0	Dominica	0	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
						Miquelon
0	Albania		Dominican		Lithuania	Saint Vincent
			Republic			and the
						Grenadines
0	Algeria		Ecuador	0	Luxembourg	Samoa
0	American Samoa		Egypt		Macau	San Marino
0	Andorra		El Salvador	0	Madagascar	São Tomé and
						Príncipe
0	Angola	0	Equatorial Guinea		Malawi	Saudi Arabia
0	Anguilla		Eritrea		Malaysia	Senegal
0	Antarctica		Estonia	0	Maldives	Serbia
0	Antigua and		Eswatini		Mali	Seychelles
	Barbuda					
0	Argentina		Ethiopia	0	Malta	Sierra Leone
0	Armenia		Falkland Islands	0	Marshall Islands	Singapore
0	Aruba		Faroe Islands		Martinique	Sint Maarten
0	Australia	0	Fiji		Mauritania	Slovakia
0	Austria	0	Finland		Mauritius	Slovenia
0	Azerbaijan		France		Mayotte	Solomon Islands
0	Bahamas	0	French Guiana	0	Mexico	Somalia
0	Bahrain		French Polynesia	0	Micronesia	South Africa
		0				

Large (250 or more)

Bangladesh	French Southerr and Antarctic Lands	n Moldova	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	Svalbard and Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire SaintEustatius andSaba	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British IndianOcean Territory	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
British VirginIslands	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island and McDonald Island		Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern Mariana Islands	Tonga
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedonia	Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey

Cape Verde	Indonesia		Oman		Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran		Pakistan		Turks and
					Caicos Islands
Central African	Iraq	0	Palau	0	Tuvalu
Republic					
Chad	Ireland	0	Palestine	0	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	0	Panama	0	Ukraine
China	Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
			Guinea		Emirates
Christmas Island	Italy	0	Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	0	Peru	0	United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	0	Philippines	0	United States
Islands					Minor Outlying
					Islands
Colombia	Jersey	0	Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
Comoros	Jordan	0	Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan	0	Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	0	Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	0	Qatar	0	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	0	Réunion		Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	0	Romania	0	Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
					Futuna
Curaçao	Laos	0	Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	0	Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
Czechia	Lebanon		Saint Helena	0	Zambia
			Ascension and		
			Tristan da Cunha		
Democratic	Lesotho		Saint Kitts and	0	Zimbabwe
Republic of the			Nevis		
Congo					
Denmark	Liberia		Saint Lucia		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its

transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.

Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

I. part

Question 1:

Please rank the importance of addressing the following issues at EU level:

	Very important	Important	Of limited importance	Not at all important	I don't know / no opinion
* Protection of soil health	0	•	0	0	0
* Sustainable use of soils	0	•	0	0	0
* Soil restoration, including remediation of contaminated sites	0	•	0	0	0

Question 2:

Please rank the importance of the following drivers of the degradation of soils:

	Very important	Important	Of limited importance	Not at all important	I don't know / no opinion
* Climate change, drought, extreme weather events	•	0	0	0	0
* Unsustainable soil management and intensification of agricultural and forestry practices (e.g. mono-cropping and intensive livestock production)	•	0	•	0	0
* Industrial activities, unsustainable waste management and energy production	•	0	0	0	0
* Improper water management, reuse and irrigation	•	0	0	0	0
* Overexploitation and consumption of natural resources	0	•	0	0	0
* Soil sealing and land take for infrastructure and construction (e.g. buildings, roads and railways, parking lots, airports)	•	0	•	0	0
* Other land-use changes such as conversion of natural grasslands and forests into arable land	•	0	0	0	0

Other [please specify]:

Text of 10 to 100 characters will be accepted

Incoherent spacial planning: urban sprawl and more roads leading to more soil sealing.

*Question 3:

In your opinion, are the causes of soil and land degradation sufficiently addressed \underline{a} \underline{t} EU level:

- Sufficiently
- Not enough
- Not at all
- I don't know / no opinion
- * In your opinion, are the causes of soil and land degradation sufficiently addressed \underline{a} \underline{t} national level:
 - Sufficiently

0

- Not enough
- Not at all
- I don't know / no opinion

Question 4:

Although soils are often associated with agricultural use of soil, they are part of every terrestrial ecosystem and their health is crucial for the environment and the provision of many ecosystem services.

Which types of soil use should be addressed by the initiative:

	Totally agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Totally disagree	I don't know / no opinion
* All types of soil uses should be addressed	•	0	0	0	•
* Agricultural soils	•	0	0	0	0
* Artificial and built areas	•	0	0	0	0
* Industrial soils	•	0	0	0	0
* Forest soils	0	•	0	0	0
* Wetlands	•	0	0	0	0

Other [please specify]:

Text of 10 to 500 characters will be accepted

Soils containing important groundwater resources.

Different policy options will be assessed to reach healthy soils in the EU by 2050. The following **questions 5-13 address different measures that will be considered in the impact assessment.**

*Question 5:

According to the EU Soil Strategy, soils are healthy when they are in good chemical, biological and physical condition, and thus able to continuously provide as many ecosystem services as possible.

In your view, should the future EU Soil Health Law set legal obligations for Member States to achieve healthy soils by 2050:

- Totally agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree

- Totally disagree
- I don't know / no opinion / not applicable

*Question 6:

Soil health depends on its management and land use changes. Indeed, a sustainable use requires care and effort, and transitioning from unsustainable to sustainable use can be difficult. Notwithstanding the current efforts to promote a sustainable use of soil, urban, industrial, forest and agricultural soils are still under continuous degradation.

Do you agree that there should be a legal obligation for Member States to set requirements for the sustainable use of soil so that its capacity to produce food, filtrate water, host and support biodiversity, store carbon etc. is not hampered:

- Totally agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Totally disagree
- I don't know / no opinion / not applicable

*Question 7:

Measurements and monitoring of soil health and threats are incomplete. Improved soil monitoring across Europe is needed to develop early warnings of exceedances of critical thresholds and to guide sustainable soil management.

Do you agree that there should be legal obligations for Member States to monitor soil health in their national territory and report on it:

- Totally agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Totally disagree
- I don't know / no opinion / not applicable

*Question 8:

In the EU, it is estimated that 2.8 million sites may have been potentially contaminated by polluting activities. The EU already has some legal tools such as the

<u>e Industrial Emissions Directive</u> and <u>the Environmental Liability Directive</u> with provisions on soil investigation and remediation, but their scope is rather on prevention, limited in time and to specific risk activities.

Do you agree that there should be legal obligations for Member States to identify contaminated sites that pose a significant risk to human health and the environment:

- Totally agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Totally disagree
- I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
- *Do you agree that there should be legal obligations for Member States to remediate those contaminated sites:
 - Totally agree
 - Somewhat agree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Totally disagree
 - I don't know / no opinion / not applicable

*Question 9:

Should the information and environmental data from a registry of contaminated sites be publicly available:

- Totally agree
- Somewhat agree, i.e. only under specific conditions
- Somewhat disagree, i.e. only under specific conditions
- Totally disagree
- I don't know / no opinion / not applicable

*Question 10:

<u>Land take</u> has been defined by the European Environment Agency as the loss of agricultural, forest and other semi-natural and natural land to urban and other artificial land development. The EU has an objective to achieve a state of no net land take by 2050. Net land take is the difference between land take and land recultivation.

Do you agree that the legal proposal should include obligations for Member States to monitor and report on the progress towards the EU objective of "no net land take" by 2050:

- Totally agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Totally disagree
- I don't know / no opinion / not applicable

*Question 11:

Soil health is very relevant for farmers, foresters and landowners in general, but also for investors, public authorities and businesses. As a way of creating a bigger awareness of the state of soils, some Member States have developed certificates of soil health. In some cases, these have to be provided during land transactions to adequately inform the buyer on soil status, similar to a certificate of energy performance that provides information on the energy efficiency of a house when selling it.

Do you agree that there should be legal obligations for Member States to set mechanism informing the buyer about the health of the soil when land is sold:

- Totally agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Totally disagree
- I don't know / no opinion / not applicable

If you agree, how should the buyer be informed?

- With an official and mandatory "certificate" on soil health
- By consulting a website with official soil health information on all land parcels
- Through a declaration of honour from the seller or lessor
- Other

Question 12:

When a new building or infrastructure is built, and soils are excavated for this purpose, in many cases these excavated soils are clean and fertile and can be reused in the same or another appropriate location. In 2018, more than 530 million

tonnes of excavated soils in EU were generated and reported as waste. In order to improve the safe recycling of healthy soils, several measures can be envisaged. To keep separate contaminated soil from clean soil, these streams have to be monitored more closely throughout the value chain, with traceability and quality control from the excavation site up to the receiving end.

In your view, which measures for ensuring the safe transportation, treatment, or reuse of excavated soils should be considered by the initiative:

	Totally agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Totally disagree	I don't know/ no opinion
* Obligation for Member States to create a soil passport for excavated soil (a passport that accompanies the soil shipment and allows to properly plan the end destination of that soil)	•	•	•	•	•
* Mandatory notification of each transport of soil	0	•	0	0	0
* An EU target for the reuse of excavated soil	0	•	0	0	0
* Quality standards for the reuse of soil	•	0	0	0	0
* Intermediary organizations that trace and register the movements of excavated soils	0	•	0	0	0

Other	[please	e specify]
	lbicacc	

7	Text of 10 to 500 characters will be accepted					

Question 13:

Please rank the importance you attribute to the different provisions for achieving healthy soils in the EU by 2050 described in the questions 5-12:

	Very important	Important	Of limited importance	Not at all important	I don't know / no opinion
* Obligation of results for Member States to achieve healthy soils (see question 5)	•	0	0	0	0

* Mandatory requirements for the sustainable management of soil (see question 6)	•	0	0	0	0
* Obligation for Member States on Soil health monitoring and reporting (see question 7)	•	0	•	•	0
* Obligation to identify contaminated sites (see question 8)	•	0	0	0	©
* Obligation to remediate contaminated sites (see question 8)	•	0	0	0	0
* Public access to data on contaminated sites (see question 9)	•	0	0	0	©
* Mandatory Member States' reporting on progress towards no net land take (see question 10)	•	0	0	•	0
* Legal obligation to inform the buyer of a land on soil health (soil health certificates) (see question 11)	0	•	0	•	0
* Legal obligation for a soil passport for excavated soils (see question 12)	0	•	0	0	0

If you wish to make other comments including on whether there are additional issues to be tackled or approaches to be taken, please list and describe them here:

Text of 10 to 1000 characters will be accepted

- Decreasing sealed surfaces in urban areas with a view to creating "sponge cities".
- Improving soil infiltration by means of innovative cultivation and farming methods.
- Improving local infiltration and ground water recharge.
- The potential of waste water biosolids to contribute to more productive land whilst minimising negative sustainability impacts is an area to consider. For instance, the productivity of forestry land might be improved through fertigation, soil structure amendments and biosolids/biochar, whilst also providing carbon sequestration etc.

Do you want to also provide input on the questions of a more technical nature where expertise in soil or related fields may be useful?

0	Yes
\sim	res

O No

II. part

Question 14:

Due to the enormous variability of soils across Europe, the definition of healthy soils with parameters and indicators must consider intrinsic characteristics of soil and land use together with characteristics sensitive to management practices. In your opinion, which of these indicators are most effective to assess soil health:

Chemical conditions - Indicators:	Very effective	Reasonably effective	Partially ineffective	Very ineffective	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Acidification/Basification	•	0	0	0	0
* Non-optimal soil organic carbon levels in mineral soils	•	0	0	0	©
* Nutrient losses	•	0	0	0	0
* Exceedance pollutants	•	0	0	0	0

B.

Physical conditions - Indicators:	Very effective	Reasonably effective	Partially ineffective	Very ineffective	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Critical soil loss (wind)	•	0	0	0	0
* Critical soil loss (water)	•	0	0	0	0
* Sealing	•	0	0	0	0
* Topsoil compaction	•	0	0	0	0
* Subsurface compaction	•	0	0	0	0

C.

Water-related indicators - Indicators:	Very effective	Reasonably effective	Partially ineffective	Very ineffective	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Water holding capacity	•	0	0	0	•
* Soil moisture deficit	•	0	0	0	0
* Permafrost	•	0	0	0	0

D.

Biological conditions - Indicators:	Very effective	Reasonably effective	Partially ineffective	Very ineffective	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Microbial biomass	•	0	0	0	•
* Abundance and diversity of earthworms	•	0	0	0	0
* Fungal Metrics	•	0	0	0	•

Other [please specify]:

Text of 10 to 1000 characters will be accepted

As to water-related indicators, the capacity to replenish / maintain groundwater levels is important, particularly for the long-term management of water resources.

Question 15:

In your view, in order to reach healthy soils in the EU, at which spatial level should Member States be required to assess and monitor soil health with the understanding that they can also choose to be more detailed? (more than one choice possible)

1	At national level
	At regional level
	At local administrative level
	At the landscape level
1	At the level of a zone homogeneous for pedo-climatic conditions and use
	At the level of a zone homogeneous for pedo-climatic conditions (whatever the
	land use)
1	At field/parcel level
	I don't know / no opinion

Other [please specify]:

Text of 10 to 500 characters will be accepted

Water catchment areas: Having the soil monitored and the soil health related to and aligned with the water catchment areas will result in better management of water and the quantity and quality of groundwater and surface water. The soil is an important part of the water cycle and the water quantity and quality it produces.

Question 16:

Other

In your view, how do you rank the effectiveness of the following measures in helping to ensure sustainable soil management (SSM) practices across different economic sectors:

	Very effective	Reasonably effective	Partially ineffective	Very ineffective	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* EU setting minimum mandatory standards for SSM	•	0	0	0	0
* Member States setting minimum mandatory standards for SSM	0	•	0	0	0
* Making EU subsidies conditional on applying SSM practices	•	0	0	0	0
*					

Eliminating any EU subsidy that would support unsustainable soil management practices	•	0	0	0	0
* Member States funding awareness-raising campaigns on the importance of soils in general and of the positive effects of SSM	•	•	•	•	•
* Member States funding SSM training for farmers and farm advisory services	•	•	0	•	•
* Creating networks, collecting and disseminating good practices and success stories	0	•	0	•	•
* Provide platforms for promoting SSM practices (e.g. lighthouses, living labs)	0	•	0	0	•

Other [please specify]:

Text of 10 to 1000 characters will be accepted

Taking more source-control measures to avoid chemical pollution of soils (REACH, CLP, IED including all the PFAS restrictions).

Increasing policy coherence of EU legal and other acts: WFD, REACH, IED, CAP, GWD, SUD/SUR, SUP, Nitrate Directive, Water Reuse Regulation, Sewage Sludge directive, Nutrient Management Action Plan and Waste Framework Directive.

Question 17:

The European Commission has set a target for the reduction of nutrient losses by at least 50% (resulting in the reduction of use of fertilizers by at least 20%) by 2030 while ensuring no deterioration in soil fertility.

How would you rank the effectiveness of the following measures in achieving the 50% reduction of nutrient losses by 2030:

	Very effective	Reasonably effective	Partially ineffective	Very ineffective	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Advisory services for farmers	0	•	0	0	0
* Recommendations to Member States on nutrient management	0	•	0	0	0
* Action plan at EU level	0	0	•	0	0

* National/regional action plans	•	0	0	0	0
* Legally binding fertilisation rates for the main crops, adapted to regional pedo-climatic conditions	•	0	0	0	0
* Legally binding targets at EU level	•	0	0	©	0
* Legally binding targets at national /regional level	•	0	0	0	0
* Continue funding research and innovation actions to address safe and environmentally sound solutions	0	•	•	0	•

Other [please specify]:

Text of 10 to 500 characters will be accepted

The CAP Farm Sustainability Tool for Nutrients was designed to provide customised recommendations on crop fertilisation through a nutrient management plan. CAP payments should be made conditional to the implementation of these recommendations by farmers.

Question 18:

Do you consider the following measures related to soil contamination should be included in the Soil Health Law:

	Totally agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Totally disagree	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Base the risk assessment of potentially contaminated sites on current and planned use	•	0	0	0	•
* Harmonise at EU level the threshold values for contaminants that would trigger a risk assessment	•	0	0	0	•
* Harmonise at EU level the criteria for a "significant risk" that would consider a site as contaminated	•	0	0	0	0
* Establish mechanisms to prioritise action for sites with highest risk	•	0	0	0	0
* Establish a hierarchy of remediation actions at EU level (decontamination, containment, capping, landfilling, etc.) that should	0	•	•	•	•

be considered to remediate contaminated sites					
* Set binding intermediate targets between now and 2050 for the identification, registration and remediation of contaminated sites	•	•	•	0	•

Only under specific conditions [please indicate which specific conditions]:

Text of 10 to 1000 characters will be accepted

"Establish mechanisms to prioritise action for sites with highest risk": These high risk sites should include sites where groundwater is used for the abstraction of drinking water.

"Set binding intermediate targets between now and 2050 for the identification, registration and remediation of contaminated sites": Couple them to the parametric values of the 2020 Drinking Water Directive, the Groundwater directive and the EQS directive.

Other measures [please specify]:

Text of 10 to 500 characters will be accepted

Set national targets for decreasing 'sealed' surfaces in urban areas.

Introduce the obligation for Member States to ensure the sustainable use of groundwater resources.

*Question 19:

Do you agree that Member States should be required, within a legally-binding time frame, to establish and implement a national plan to remediate sites that represent a significant risk to human health or the environment:

- Totally agree
- Somewhat agree
- Somewhat disagree
- Totally disagree
- Only under specific condition
- I don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Question 20:

Which aspects should Member States report on in relation to the 2050 target on 'no net land take':

Aspects to be monitored:	Totally agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Totally disagree	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Soil sealing	•	0	0	0	0
* Land take	•	0	0	0	0

* Land recycling	0	•	0	0	0
* Land fragmentation	0	•	0	0	•

В.

Specific land use changes to be monitored:	Totally agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Totally disagree	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Soil consumed for commercial activities and logistic hubs	0	0	0	0	•
* Soil consumed for renewable energies	0	0	0	0	•
* Soil consumed for "carbon- consuming" surfaces such as airports, roads, carbon mines	0	0	0	0	•

C.

Consequences of land take to be monitored:	Totally agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Totally disagree	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Quantification of loss of ecosystem services following land take	•	0	0	0	0
* Monetary value of soil for public interest lost from land take	•	0	0	0	0
* Off-site environmental degradation related to land take (rivers affected by modified trends, floods, etc.)	•	0	0	0	0

D.

Actions taken:	Totally agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Totally disagree	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Progress in implementing the land take hierarchy	0	•	0	0	•
* Progress in national legal actions taken	•	0	0	0	•

* Progress in voluntary	•	0	0	0	0
actions taken					

Only under specific conditions [please indicate which specific conditions]:

Text of 10 to 1000 characters will be accepted

Monitor the influence of soil sealing on ground water recharge; change in urban run-off at least on catchment area level.

Other aspects [please specify]:

Text of 10 to 500 characters will be accepted

Progress towards ensuring the sustainable use of groundwater resources and the quality and quantity of groundwater and surface water.

Question 21:

How would you rank the effectiveness of the following measure for ensuring the safe transportation, treatment, or reuse of excavated soils:

	Very effective	Reasonably effective	Partially ineffective	Very ineffective	I don't know / no opinion / not applicable
* Obligation for Member States to create a soil passport for excavated soil (a passport that accompanies the soil shipment and allows to properly plan the end destination of that soils)	•	•	0	•	•
* Mandatory notification of each transport of soil	0	•	0	0	0
* An EU target for the reuse of excavated soil	•	0	0	0	0
* Quality standards for the reuse of soil	•	0	0	0	0
* Intermediary organizations that trace and register the movements of excavated soils	0	0	0	0	•

Other	[please	specify]	
-------	---------	----------	--

Tex	Text of 10 to 500 characters will be accepted							

Do you want to add any other suggestions/opinions?

Text of 10 to 1000 characters will be accepted

The Directive should recognise the soil-water nexus. Healthy soils deliver valuable functions: water purification/infiltration, groundwater recharge which is important for the quantity/quality of surface waters, nutrient regulation, pest control. Objectives are required for the protection of drinking water resources, improve groundwater/ surface water quality/quantity (reduction of fertiliser/pesticide emissions, remediation of contaminated sites, source control for diffuse soil contamination.

Pervious soils are vital for rainwater/flood management (buffer function, prevent soil erosion, rainwater filtration). Peatland/wetland help to fill aquifers and manage storm water overflows. Restoring organic soils will capture/store carbon.

Sewage sludge-based biosolids recycle carbon/nutrients. The Directive should be consistent with dir. 86/278 /EEC, the circular economy action plan and the Farm2Fork strategy. This may lead to more parameters and an enlarged scope of dir. 86/278/EC

You can upload here any relevant document you want to share:

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Contact

Svetlana.CHOVANCOVA@ec.europa.eu