# Public Consultation on Critical Raw Materials Act 

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

## Introduction

Critical raw materials are key to the green and digital transitions as well as to other EU priorities such as EU resilience and security. They are essential components of green technologies, are used in digital applications, and are crucial to the defence, aerospace and health industries. To secure a sustainable supply, the European Commission has had in place a dedicated strategy since 2008 and put forward an action plan in the 2020 Communication on Critical Raw Materials. Although the action plan is on track, the current context of growing global demand and increasing geopolitical instability creates the need for increased efforts.

In light of the need to reduce dependence on Russian fossil fuels and to speed up progress towards achieving climate neutrality by 2050 as set out by the European Green Deal, the REPowerEU communication announced the urgent acceleration of the energy transition. The European Council's Versailles Declaration of March 2022 called to secure EU supply of critical raw materials, particularly by building on the strengths of the Single Market. Similarly, the European Parliament called for an EU strategy for critical raw materials in its November 2021 resolution. The REPowerEU communication and the Joint Communication on the Defence Investment Gaps Analysis and Way Forward announced in May 2022 that actions, including by legislative means, will strengthen EU resilience and security of supply of critical raw materials.

Against this background, the President of the European Commission, Ursula von der Leyen, in her State of the Union speech, announced a European Critical Raw Materials Act, notably to identify strategic projects all along the value chain and to build up strategic reserves where supply is at risk. In parallel, the President announced the pursuit of supply diversification through Free Trade Agreements and new partnerships to advance the EU's vital interests and values. This includes ensuring undistorted trade and investment as well as developing industrial and cooperation actions with like-minded partners and resource rich countries.

This initiative will hence take the form of a package consisting of regulatory and non-regulatory actions.

The objective of the Act could be to reinforce the EU's monitoring capacity and help secure a sustainable supply of diverse critical raw materials. For that purpose, the initiative should seek to strengthen the European value chain through the identification of mineral resources and of critical raw materials projects in the European strategic interest, while ensuring a high level of environmental protection, including projects that promote a circular economy and resource efficiency through the uptake of secondary raw materials.

This public consultation aims at offering the general public and relevant stakeholders the opportunity to provide input for a legislative proposal aimed at securing the EU's supply of critical raw materials. The responses, together with evidence gathered from different sources including desk research and other targeted consultations, will provide an analytical basis for the planned legislative proposal.

Scope:

- "Critical raw materials", when mentioned in the consultation, refers not only to the list of critical raw materials published in 2020, but can also include other strategic raw materials (e.g. copper). However, please note that energy raw materials (e.g. coal) and agricultural raw materials (e.g. wheat) do not fall under the scope of this consultation;
- "Critical raw materials projects", when mentioned in the consultation, refer to projects all along the critical raw materials value chain: they can include exploration, extraction, refining, processing or recycling activities.

The questionnaire is divided into the following parts:

1) About you
2) Challenges for securing sustainable supply of critical raw materials
3) Possible measures to ensure a secure and sustainable supply of critical raw materials

The deadline for replies is 25 November 2022.

You can send any additional information that you consider relevant to this consultation to the mailbox GROW-I1@ec.europa.eu , indicating 'public consultation critical raw materials' in the subject of your email.

Thank you for your cooperation.

## About you

## *Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
${ }^{\circ}$ French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish
*I am giving my contribution as
- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other
* First name

Oliver

## *Surname

LOEBEL
*Email (this won't be published)

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum
EurEau

* Organisation size
- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number
255 character(s) maximum
Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

```
39299129772-62
```


## *Country of origin

## Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

- Afghanistan
- Djibouti
Åland Islands
- Dominica
- Libya
- Liechtenstein
Saint Martin
- Saint Pierre and Miquelon
- Albania
- Dominican
Republic
- Lithuania
位
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- Algeria
- Ecuador
American Samoa
Egypt
- Andorra
- El Salvador
- Luxembourg
- Macau
- Madagascar
- Angola
- Equatorial Guinea
Malawi
Anguilla
- Antarctica
- Eritrea
- Estonia
- Malaysia
- Maldives
- Samoa
- San Marino
- São Tomé and Príncipe
- Saudi Arabia
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Antigua and

Barbuda
${ }^{-}$Argentina

- Armenia
- Aruba
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
${ }^{-}$Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint

Eustatius and
Saba

- Bosnia and

Herzegovina

- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian

Ocean Territory

- British Virgin Islands
- Eswatini
${ }^{\circ}$ Mali
- Seychelles
- Ethiopia

Falkland Islands
Faroe Islands
${ }^{\circ}$ Fiji

- Finland
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic
Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Niger
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua号
- Sierra Leone

Singapore

- Sint Maarten
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South
Sandwich
Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden
- Switzerland
号
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia


| - Czechia | - Lebanon | Saint Helena <br> Ascension and Tristan da Cunha | Zambia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Democratic <br> Republic of the Congo | - Lesotho | Saint Kitts and Nevis | - Zimbabwe |
| - Denmark | - Liberia | - Saint Lucia |  |

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo $r$ the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

## *Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

## Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

## - Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

## V I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Which of the following activities are performed by your company or the companies represented by your association (either in the past, presently, or potentially in the future)? (more than one choice is possible)
$\square$ Exploration of critical raw materials (e.g. junior miners).
$\square$ Extraction of critical raw materials.
$\square$ Processing or refining of critical raw materials from primary sources.
$\square$ Trading of critical raw materials.
$\square$ Use of critical raw materials in manufacturing.
V Recovery and recycling of critical raw materials (production of secondary raw materials).
V Research and innovation on critical raw material (e.g. substitution).
$\square$ Processing or refining of critical raw materials from secondary sources.
$\square$ My company / association is not involved in any of the activities listed above.

Please indicate from which waste stream(s) your company recycles or recovers critical raw materials (e.g. Batteries, End-of-Life Vehicles (ELV), waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE), Mining, others).

Urban waste water
2) Challenges for securing sustainable supply of critical raw materials

## General Challenges

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | I don't know / no opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The potential to extract critical raw materials from primary sources in the EU is currently underexploited. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | © |
| b. The EU's processing and refining capacities for critical raw materials are currently insufficient. | $\bigcirc$ | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| c. The potential to re-use or recycle critical raw materials from waste is currently underexploited in the EU. | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| d. The potential to diversify the EU's external supply of critical raw materials is currently underexploited. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |


| e. The potential to substitute critical raw materials is currently underexploited in the EU. | $\bigcirc$ | (0) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f. The potential to increase efficiency of critical raw materials use is currently underexploited in the EU. | $\bigcirc$ | (0) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| g. Industry is not able to tackle critical raw materials sourcing challenges on the global market without further EU policy intervention. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| h. Current EU policies on critical raw materials are insufficient to effectively manage supply chain disruptions, shortages and price hikes. | (0) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

b. You agree or strongly agree that the EU's processing and refining capacities for critical raw materials are currently insufficient. Please specify for which critical raw material(s) this is the case.

```
Phosphorous
```

c. You agree or strongly agree that the potential to re-use or recycle critical raw materials from waste is currently underexploited in the EU. Please specify for which waste stream(s) this is the case.

## Phosphorous

e. You agree or strongly agree that the potential to substitute critical raw materials is currently underexploited in the EU. Please specify for which critical raw material (s) this is the case.

Phosphorous rock
f. You agree or strongly agree that the potential to increase the efficiency of critical material use is currently underexploited in the EU. Please specify for which critical raw material(s) this is the case.

Replace mineral fertilisers by fertilisers from organic sources and reduce overall fertiliser use to protect groundwater and surface water resources.

## Current EU Policies

To what extent do you agree that the existing EU policy actions contribute to secure the EU's supply of critical raw materials?

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | I don't <br> know / <br> no opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Establishing and updating the critical raw materials list. | © | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| b. Developing the European Raw Materials Alliance. | $\bigcirc$ | - | ( | - | - | $\bigcirc$ |
| c. Concluding Strategic partnerships with resource rich third countries. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |
| d. Developing a transparent and stable trade framework for facilitating trade in raw materials, including through free trade agreements with resource rich third countries. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| e. Funding research and innovation on resource efficiency, recycling and substitution of critical raw materials through Horizon Europe. | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| f. Developing and coordinating knowledge through research projects, such as the raw materials information system (https://rmis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/). | $\bigcirc$ | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

Supply chain vulnerability

What share of your supply of critical raw materials intended for use in the EU is extracted outside the EU?

|  | Less than 25\% | Between 25 and 50\% | Between 50 and 75\% | More than 75\% | Information not available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Please fill in relevant raw materials in the right category (e.g. write in 'lithium' under 'more than $75 \%$ '). |  |  |  |  | x |

In how many different third countries is your supply of critical raw materials extracted?

|  | One country | Two countries | Three countries | More than three countries | Information not available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Please fill in relevant raw materials in the applicable category (e.g. write in 'lithium' under 'two countries'). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Optional: please add the relevant countries (e.g. write in 'lithium from Chile and China' under 'two countries'). |  |  |  |  |  |

What share of your supply of critical raw materials into the EU is processed and/or refined outside the EU?

|  | Less than $25 \%$ | Between 25 and $50 \%$ | Between 50 and $75 \%$ | More than $75 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Please fill in relevant raw <br> materials in the right <br> category (e.g. write in <br> 'lithium' under 'more than |  |  |  |  |
| $75 \%$ '). |  |  |  |  |

In how many different third countries is your supply of critical raw materials processed and/or refined?

|  | One country | Two countries | Three countries | More than three countries | Information not available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Please fill in relevant raw materials in the applicable category (e.g. write in 'lithium' under 'two countries'). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Optional: please add the relevant countries (e.g. write in 'lithium from Chile and China' under 'two countries'). |  |  |  |  |  |

Have you experienced in the last five years any of the problems below in relation to your supply of critical raw materials?

V Supply shortages
V Supply disruptions
V Strong volatility in prices
$\square$ Other supply problems
We have not experienced any supply problems.
Please specify how this problem affected you, your company or institution, and which critical raw materials were concerned

Coagulants are widely used in drinking water and waste water treatment. As to the latter, they are used to recover phosphorous from the waste water. If they are not available in sufficient quantities compliance with quality standards may be jeopardised.

Are these problems more or less acute compared to the difficulties of sourcing other inputs that are not related to critical raw materials?

They are acute.

Do you feel that your supply of critical raw materials is more at risk of being affected by supply shortages, supply disruptions or strong volatility in prices than your supply of other inputs?

Strongly agree

- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- I do not know / No opinion


## Monitoring

To what extent do the following statements related to the monitoring of supply correctly describe the challenges in this field?

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Strongly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| agree |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ Agree | I do |
| :---: |
| notral |


| a. Companies would benefit from <br> public monitoring activities to <br> inform them about risks of supply <br> chains disruption. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. Companies lack sufficient <br> information on supply risks for <br> them to take preventive <br> measures. |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| c. Companies generally do not <br> have in place contingency plans <br> to deal with supply disruptions. |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| d. Member State authorities do <br> not have in place sufficient <br> monitoring mechanisms allowing <br> them to assess supply risks and <br> anticipate problems. | O |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| e. Member State authorities do <br> not sufficiently cooperate and <br> coordinate in monitoring critical <br> raw materials supply chains. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EU value chain

To what extent do you agree that the following challenges affect the roll out of critical raw materials projects (mining, refining and recycling) in the EU?

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | I do <br> not <br> know / <br> no opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Difficulty to access private financing for critical raw materials projects. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| b. Difficulty to access public funding in the EU for critical raw materials projects. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | © |
| c. Lack of technical standards for processes along the critical raw materials value chain. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | © |
| d. Fear of reputational damage for companies. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| e. Lack of or limited public acceptance for new critical raw materials projects in EU. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |


| f. Unfair competition from third countries. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g. Price volatility and unpredictability. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |
| h. Lack of skilled workforce to design and operate critical raw materials projects. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |
| i. Lack of available technologies to carry out critical raw materials projects in line with the required environmental standards. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |

If necessary, please further explain the consequences of the challenges encountered by critical raw materials projects, specifying for which stages of the value they apply.
You can also specify any additional challenge not listed in the previous question.
$\square$

## Permitting

Do you agree with the following statements regarding the permitting procedures for critical raw materials projects?
Please specify which Member State or third country you refer to and for which type of projects (e.g. write "Country Y, recycling project" in the "Agree" box).

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | I do not know / No opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. National permitting requirements and processes are not clear for permitting applicants |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| b. National permitting processes are too lengthy |  |  |  |  |  | x |
| c. Permitting requirements on local, regional and national level are too different |  |  |  |  |  | x |

## Circularity

To what extent do you agree with the following statement regarding the circularity of critical raw materials projects in the EU?
$\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|c|}\hline & & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Ido } \\ \text { not }\end{array} \\ \text { Strongly } \\ \text { agree }\end{array} \quad$ Agree $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Nnow / } \\ \text { No }\end{array}\right]$

## 3) Possible measures to ensure a secure and sustainable supply of critical

 raw materialsStrengthening the monitoring, risk management and governance for critical raw materials supply chain in Europe

To strengthen the resilience of the supply chains of critical raw materials in the EU, new tools could be developed. To what extent do you agree that the following possible measures can help strengthen supply chain resilience?

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Ido not know / No opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| a. Enhanced cooperation <br> between national authorities or <br> agencies in charge of critical raw <br> materials (data exchange, <br> common monitoring, etc.). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. A dedicated EU-wide <br> governance capacity tasked with <br> monitoring actions and <br> strengthening the EU value chain. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. Better access for companies <br> to monitoring information at EU <br> or at national level to enable <br> them to identify vulnerabilities in <br> their supply chains. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d. Periodic supply chain stress <br> testing of selected critical raw <br> materials at EU level to better <br> anticipate supply chain <br> disruptions. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| e. Early warnings by public |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| authorities of imminent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vulnerability of a supply chain. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

If you have suggestion of other measures to strengthen the resilience of the EU critical raw materials supply chains, please specify.
$\square$
To ensure a better monitoring of critical raw materials, it would be useful for public authorities to monitor more closely:

|  |  |  |  |  | Strongly <br> agree | Agree |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Neutral | Disagree |
| :---: |
| Strongly <br> disagree <br> not <br> know / <br> No <br> opinion |
| a. Prices of critical raw materials. |$\odot \quad$|  |
| :---: |
| b. Demand developments at <br> national, European and global <br> levels. |


| c. Supply developments of critical raw materials (including forecasting). | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d. Trade flows of critical raw materials. | $\bigcirc$ | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| e. Progress of important critical raw materials projects in the EU. | $\bigcirc$ | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

If you have suggestions of other areas to monitor, please specify.
Support the development of alternative supply chains.

Does your organisation (or organisations you represent) have any strategic stocks of critical raw materials?

- YesNo
- I do not know / Not applicable

How do you consider that efforts to increase strategic stocks should be organised?
V Mandatory storage or strategic stocks by industry.
$\square$ Mandatory storage or strategic stocks by Member States' public bodies.
$\square$ EU level guidance on voluntary storage or strategic stocks to be organised at national level, as appropriate (by public and/or private actors).
$\square$ Storage or strategic stocks by an EU level body through joint procurement.
$\square$ EU level guidance on rationing/redistributing stocks.
$\square$ EU rules for mandatory redistribution of stocks in view of supply and demand.
$\square$ Other.

Please provide any qualitative and/or quantitative information to explain your choice (s) as regards strategic stocks. Specifically, what would be the cost/burden for you and/or your organisation?

## Strengthening the critical raw materials supply chain in Europe

To what extent do you agree that the following policy options could help strengthen the EU critical raw materials value chain?

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | $\begin{gathered} \text { I do } \\ \text { not } \\ \text { know / } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { opinion } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. A specific list of raw materials of strategic importance for the EU (and thus deserving priority attention) to guide investment decisions of companies and financial institutions. | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| b. A specific list of raw materials of strategic importance for the EU (and thus deserving priority attention) to target public support at EU and national level. | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| c. Setting EU objectives for increasing domestic production capacity along different stages of the value chain (exploration, extraction, refining, recycling) to guide national and European efforts (i.e. in relation to the materials on the list mentioned under a.). | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| d. Identifying Strategic Projects across the critical raw materials value chain with strong environmental and social performance and contributing to reducing EU dependencies. | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| e. Ensuring that these Strategic Projects benefit from streamlined and quicker permitting procedures. | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| f. Ensuring that these Strategic Projects benefit from easier access to public and private finance. | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| g. Ensuring that Strategic Projects and Partnerships initiatives outside of the EU also benefit from de-risking if they comply with social and environmental standards and can contribute to securing EU supply. | $\bigcirc$ | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

To what extent do you agree that the following policy options would facilitate access to finance for critical raw materials projects?

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ido <br> not |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| know / |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| opinion |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$


| f. Support a level playing field to |
| :--- |
| promote transparency and good |
| governance with partner |


| countries, aiming to gather |
| :--- |


| sustainable and responsible |
| :--- |
| investments and partnerships in |
| the sector. |

Do you foresee any other option to facilitate access to finance for critical raw materials projects?

## Sustainability

Would you agree that products containing critical raw materials should be accompanied with information on the environmental footprint of producing those materials?

Strongly agree

- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree

Strongly disagree

- I do not know / No opinion

Would you agree that products containing critical raw materials should be accompanied with information on the ethical sourcing of those materials?

Strongly agree

- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- I do not know / No opinion

Which measures would you propose to increase resource efficiency and reduce the pressure on primary raw material consumption?

Set clear legislative requirements for the market uptake of critical raw materials recovered from intra-EU processes (for example phosphorous from organic sources) in order to de-risk investments in material recovery processes.

Substitution of critical raw materials is an important way to mitigate the demand needs. Which actions should be further pursued to develop substitution?

Strengthened support for EU research and innovation funding on substitution.
$\square$ Strengthened cooperation across Member States on the research for substitution.
T Strengthened public-private cooperation on the deployment of substitution alternatives.
V Strengthened private-private cooperation (horizontal or vertical) on the development of substitution alternatives (in accordance with competition law and parameters).
$\square$ Other.
Which measures or techniques would contribute to lower the environmental impact of extraction?
$\square$

## Circularity

Would the following measures help develop the market for secondary raw materials in the EU, hence lowering the pressure on demand for primary raw materials?

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | I do <br> not <br> know / <br> no opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Developing targets for waste operators related to the recycling efficiency of critical raw materials from different waste streams (electronics, vehicles, etc.). | $\bigcirc$ | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| b. Developing targets for waste operators related to the material recovery of critical raw materials from different waste streams (electronics, vehicles, mining waste etc.). | $\bigcirc$ | ( | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |


| c. Requiring Member State to <br> design waste management plans <br> including specific measures to <br> promote the recovery of critical <br> raw materials, from historical <br> mining waste. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| d. Setting design requirements <br> for products containing critical <br> raw materials to increase their <br> ease of dismantling and <br> recycling. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| e. Setting minimum content of <br> recycled critical raw materials in <br> relevant products. | O |  |  |  |  |  |
| f. Setting design requirements for <br> products containing critical raw <br> materials to increase the length <br> of product life. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| g. Strengthening support for EU <br> research and innovation funding <br> on recycling and material <br> recovery technologies for critical <br> raw materials. | O |  |  |  |  |  |

## Are there any other policy options that would facilitate the circularity of critical raw materials?

Develop end-of-waste criteria for materials recovered from waste streams including waste water.

## International dimension

Securing the EU supply of critical raw materials implies further diversification of EU sourcing, given the present high concentration of origin of EU imports for many critical raw materials. The EU action plan on critical raw materials adopted in 2020 includes an action to develop partnerships with resource rich countries, with the aim of ensuring diversification of sourcing. The EU has already concluded Strategic Partnerships with third countries (with Canada and Ukraine) to further integrate the partner countries' supply chain with the EU and is negotiating others.

In parallel, the EU has a vast network of trade agreements with important disciplines on export restrictions, investment facilitation etc. In addition, some finalised agreements (e.g. with UK) and ongoing negotiations (e.g. with Chile, Australia) include additional provisions on Energy and Raw materials in dedicated chapters.

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The EU lacks a strategy for increasing the diversification of EU industry supplies from third countries. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |
| b. The potential to extract critical raw materials from primary sources in third countries is currently underexploited. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |
| c. The global processing and refining capacities for critical raw materials are currently insufficient. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |
| d. The exchanges and cooperation between Third Countries and EU/ Member States authorities on critical raw materials (data exchange, common monitoring, etc.) are not sufficient. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |
| e. The extraction of critical raw materials from primary sources in third countries contributes to environmental degradation. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |

To what extent do you agree that the following challenges affect the roll out of critical raw materials projects (mining, refining and recycling) in third countries?

|  | Strongly <br> agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> disagree | Ido <br> not <br> know / <br> No <br> opinion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Difficulty to access private <br> financing for critical raw <br> materials projects. | $\odot$ | $\odot$ | $\odot$ | $\odot$ | $\odot$ | $\odot$ |
| b. Difficulty to access public <br> funding in third countries for <br> critical raw materials projects. | $\odot$ | $\odot$ | $\odot$ | $\odot$ | $\odot$ | $\odot$ |


| c. Difficulty or undue delay to receive a permit for a critical raw materials project. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d. Lack of technical standards for processes along the critical raw materials value chain. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |
| e. Fear of reputational damage for companies. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |
| f. Lack of or limited public acceptance for new critical raw materials projects in third countries. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |
| g. Unfair local competition from third countries. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |
| h. Price volatility and unpredictability. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |
| i. Lack of skilled workforce to design and operate critical raw materials projects. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |
| j. Lack of available technologies to carry out critical raw materials projects in line with the required environmental standards. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | (0) |

What are the underlying reasons for supply chains disturbances and supply constraints of raw materials?

Insufficient global production.
$\square$ Increased geopolitical tensions.
$\square$ Market manipulation.
$\square$ Volatile trading conditions.
$\square$ Untransparent market mechanisms.
V Other.

Please specify.
High energy (gas) prices affecting the economic viability of certain production processes in Europe.

To what extent do you agree that the following policy statements can help support the EU's global efforts to secure critical raw materials?

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | I do <br> not know / <br> No opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The EU should strengthen the ongoing strategic partnerships on critical raw materials and conclude additional ones with resource rich third countries | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| b. Such partnerships should rely on clearly established pillars, such as the integration of raw materials value chain, research and innovation cooperation and alignment on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria and standards. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| c. Partnerships on raw materials value chains with developing countries need to include a pillar for capacity building, skills and training and one for deploying infrastructure. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |
| d. The EU should diversify its global supply through its freetrade agreements and trade relations. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |
| e. The provisions (on investment, licencing and standardization) in dedicated Energy and Raw materials Chapters in the latest trade agreements have the necessary disciplines to unlock the potential in opening access and encouraging investment in raw materials. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | ( |

If you have suggestions on other instruments that should be used to diversify the EU's global supply, please specify.
$\square$
Which of the following barriers, often adopted by third countries, have the most trade distorting effect on your business?

|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | I do not know/ no opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Export taxes. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| b. Export licencing. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O | $\bigcirc$ | O | ( |
| c. Export pricing (dual pricing, minimum export prices). | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| d. Denial of VAT tax rebates when exporting. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| e. Restrictions on customs clearance points for exports. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| f. Export monopolies / qualified exporters lists. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| g. Domestic market obligations. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | © |
| h. Other trade barriers. | O | $\bigcirc$ | O | $\bigcirc$ | - | © |

## Skills

A skilled workforce is indispensable to the strengthening of the EU value chain. In your opinion, which public action (at local, national or European levels) could help tackle the skills challenge of the EU raw materials value chain?

Impacts
Please specify from the possible measures mentioned in this consultation the ones with the likely highest impact on your organization, and specify, possibly quantitatively, the potential costs and/or benefits. Costs and benefits can relate, for example, to administrative or financial burden or improved business opportunities.

If you wish to share any further information or opinion on the one of topics raised above, please do so below:

The definition of critical raw materials must be extended to include all raw materials needed to provide critical entities (as defined in the future Critical Entities Resilience Directive) with the materials they need to fulfill their societal tasks. This must include materials needed to recover critical raw materials. Critical Entities will have to implement risk assessment and risk management measures. Disrupted supply chains for key treatment materials is a substantial risk, but often beyond the control of the critical entity. A coordinated policy approach is necessary.

## To share information relevant to this survey, please upload your file(s).

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Thank you for the time taken to participate to this survey.

## Contact

Grow-i1@ec.europa.eu

