

# Evaluation of public procurement directives

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

This public consultation forms an integral part of the **evaluation of the EU public procurement directives**:

- Directive 2014/23/EU on the award of concession contracts
- Directive 2014/24/EU on public procurement
- Directive 2014/25/EU on procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors).

The **objectives of the directives** have been to ensure an efficient use of public funds, contribute to the high level of competition in the single market, and promote transparency and integrity of public spending. The directives were also expected to contribute to making Europe a more green, social and innovative economy, increase SMEs' participation in procurement procedures, reduce the administrative burden related to procurement procedures, simplify them and make more flexible.

The purpose of this evaluation is to collect information that allows the Commission to assess the EU procurement markets and understand:

- the effectiveness and coherence of the EU legal framework for public procurement
- whether this legal framework is still adequate in the current context.

The directives have been **transposed into national law**. Feedback on national legislation that does not transpose the directives is outside of this consultation's scope.

The results of this public consultation will be summarised in a factual report, which will be published on the Have Your Say website. The results will also be analysed together with other data and presented in the Commission's report on the evaluation of the public procurement directives and an accompanying staff working document.

This consultation is composed of five themes. You will be able to provide additional **free text comments** concerning each of them. At the end of the survey you can upload a file with a more detailed contribution, including any **evidence** you may have.

## About You

---

\* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

\* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority

- Trade union
- Other

\* First name

Martin

\* Surname

Silič

\* Email (this won't be published)

martin.silic@eureau.org

\* Organisation name

*255 character(s) maximum*

European Federation of National Associations of Water Services

\* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

39299129772-62

\* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

*This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.*

- Afghanistan
- Djibouti
- Libya
- Saint Martin
- Åland Islands
- Dominica
- Liechtenstein
- Saint Pierre and Miquelon

- Albania
- Algeria
- American Samoa
- Andorra
- Angola
- Anguilla
- Antarctica
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Argentina
- Armenia
- Aruba
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Dominican Republic
- Ecuador
- Egypt
- El Salvador
- Equatorial Guinea
- Eritrea
- Estonia
- Eswatini
- Ethiopia
- Falkland Islands
- Faroe Islands
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Macau
- Madagascar
- Malawi
- Malaysia
- Maldives
- Mali
- Malta
- Marshall Islands
- Martinique
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar/Burma
- Namibia
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- Samoa
- San Marino
- São Tomé and Príncipe
- Saudi Arabia
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Seychelles
- Sierra Leone
- Singapore
- Sint Maarten
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden

- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- China
- Christmas Island
- Clipperton
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Türkiye
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States

- Cocos (Keeling) Islands
- Colombia
- Comoros
- Congo
- Cook Islands
- Costa Rica
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Croatia
- Cuba
- Curaçao
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Denmark
- Japan
- Jersey
- Jordan
- Kazakhstan
- Kenya
- Kiribati
- Kosovo
- Kuwait
- Kyrgyzstan
- Laos
- Latvia
- Lebanon
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Philippines
- Pitcairn Islands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Puerto Rico
- Qatar
- Réunion
- Romania
- Russia
- Rwanda
- Saint Barthélemy
- Saint Helena  
Ascension and  
Tristan da Cunha
- Saint Kitts and  
Nevis
- Saint Lucia
- United States  
Minor Outlying  
Islands
- Uruguay
- US Virgin Islands
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Vatican City
- Venezuela
- Vietnam
- Wallis and  
Futuna
- Western Sahara
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.** Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

### \* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

**Anonymous**

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

**Public**

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

## Experience with EU public procurement

---

### Section 1: Simpler, more flexible rules, value for money, transparency, integrity

Have the directives reached their objectives?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
The directives helped contracting authorities* get <b>better value for money</b> when procuring works, goods and services.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives made the <b>scope</b> of the applicable rules <b>clearer</b> .	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives provided sufficient <b>flexibility</b> in the public procurement system (e.g. a broader choice of procedures and procurement techniques).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

The digitalisation of public procurement ( <b>eProcurement</b> ) helped <b>lower the administrative burden</b> when procuring works, goods and services.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The digitalisation of public procurement ( <b>eProcurement</b> ) made it <b>faster to procure</b> works, goods and services.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives set out <b>simpler rules</b> for the EU public procurement system.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives helped <b>reduce corruption</b> and fend off political pressure in public procurement procedures.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives fostered a <b>culture of integrity</b> and fair play in public procurement.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives increased the <b>professionalisation</b> of public buyers.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives <b>increased transparency</b> by setting the proper framework for the publication of tenders at all stages of the public procurement procedure.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives gave greater <b>legal certainty</b> on the compliance with procurement procedures.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives facilitated <b>prompt payments</b> to subcontractors for the works, goods and services offered.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

\* Throughout this survey the term "contracting authorities" is understood as contracting authorities and entities.

The directives' objectives were to be achieved through rules set out in these legal acts.

In this context, do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
--	----------------	-------	---------	----------	-------------------	------------



The directives' rules aiming at <b>procedural simplification</b> (e.g. eProcurement, European single procurement document 'ESPD', the use of self-declarations) are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules aiming to increase <b>procedural flexibility</b> (e.g. the choice of available procedures, time limits for submitting offers, contract modifications) are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on <b>transparency</b> (e.g. EU-wide publication via Tenders Electronic Daily 'TED') are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on <b>monitoring</b> (e.g. the quality of data provided in TED) are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on <b>integrity</b> (e.g. exclusion grounds, conflict of interest rules) are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you have comments concerning any of the statements above, please provide them here.

## Access to the EU public procurement market

### Section 2: Easier market access, SMEs and cross-border participation

Have the directives reached their objectives?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
--	----------------	-------	---------	----------	-------------------	------------

The directives resulted in <b>more competition</b> in public procurement markets (e.g. rules on transparency make it easier for companies to enter markets).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives set out rules that ensure the <b>equal treatment</b> of bidders from <b>other EU countries</b> in all stages of the process and the objective evaluation of tenders.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives made it <b>easier for SMEs</b> to bid for public contracts (e.g. the possibility to divide tenders into lots).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives made it <b>easier to bid</b> on public contracts <b>from abroad</b> (e.g. through eProcurement).	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

The directives' objectives were to be achieved through rules set out in these legal acts.

In this context, do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
The directives' rules on <b>SMEs' market access</b> are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on <b>eProcurement</b> are still relevant and adequate as a tool to facilitate <b>market access</b> .	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on <b>market access of companies from other EU countries</b> are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on <b>market access of companies from non-EU countries</b> are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on <b>public-public cooperation</b> and <b>in-house procurement</b> are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you have comments concerning any of the statements above, please provide them here.

## Strategic public procurement

### Section 3: Addressing strategic challenges

Have the directives reached their objectives?

#### *Impact on contracting authorities*

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
The directives encouraged contracting authorities to <b>buy environmentally friendly</b> works, goods and services.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives encouraged contracting authorities to <b>buy socially responsible</b> works, goods and services.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives encouraged contracting authorities to <b>buy innovative</b> works, goods and services.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

#### *Impact on suppliers*

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
The directives encouraged companies to make greater efforts in meeting <b>environmental standards</b> in their economic activities.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives encouraged companies to consider <b>social aspects</b> more in their economic activities.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

The directives encouraged companies to make wider use of <b>innovative solutions</b> in their economic activities.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

The directives' objectives were to be achieved through rules set out in these legal acts.

In this context, do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
The directives' rules that aim for <b>environmentally friendly</b> procurement (e.g. quality assurance standards and environmental management standards) are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules that aim for <b>socially responsible</b> procurement (e.g. reserved contracts, requirements on accessibility for people with disabilities and design for all users) are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on <b>supporting innovation</b> (e.g. innovation partnership, competitive dialogue) are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on supporting <b>all types of strategic procurement</b> (e.g. the use of the most economically advantageous tender) are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives' rules on the transfer of <b>intellectual property rights</b> to enable public procurement to drive innovation are still relevant and adequate.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you have comments concerning any of the statements above, please provide them here.

## Section 4: Competition

	Too high	Adequate	Too low	No opinion
The level of <b>competition</b> in the EU public procurement market is ...	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The frequency of <b>single bidding</b> (awarding a contract after only receiving one offer) is ...	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The frequency of <b>direct awards</b> (negotiated procedure without publication of a contract notice) is ....	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The frequency of <b>awards based on price only</b> (as different from the most economically advantageous awards) is ...	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Do you agree with either of these statements about the **high frequency of single bidding**?

- It is a sign of bad procurement practices.
- It is not linked to procurement practices, but due to market structure or other factors unrelated to procurement.
- I don't agree with either of the statements above

Do you agree with either of these statements about the **high frequency of direct awards**?

- It is a sign of bad procurement practices.
- It is a legitimate procurement practice under certain circumstances and may facilitate the flexibility and timeliness of procedures.
- I don't agree with either of the statements above.

Do you agree with either of these statements about the **high frequency of price only awards**?

- It is a sign of bad procurement practices.
- It may be more efficient in certain circumstances (e.g. a simpler and faster way to buy homogenous goods).
- High quality can be assured through technical requirements.
- I don't agree with either of the statements above.

**Over the last 8 years**, the level of competition in the EU public procurement market has...

- increased
- remained the same
- decreased
- No opinion.

Feel free to comment on issues that you may have experienced with the level of competition in EU public procurement market.

## Coherence and resilience of the EU public procurement framework

### Section 5: Coherence

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
The <b>three public procurement directives*</b> are coherent with each other.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The <b>objectives</b> of the three public procurement <b>directives</b> are coherent with each other.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
EU public procurement legislation on <b>defence and security procurement</b> is coherent with the three public procurement directives.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
EU public procurement legislation on <b>remedies</b> is coherent with the three public procurement directives.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<b>EU legislation relating to public procurement</b> (e.g. sectorial rules such as the Net-Zero Industry Act)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

or Clean Vehicles Directive) is coherent with the three public procurement directives.						
The directives led to a <b>more consistent</b> application of public procurement policy <b>across EU countries</b> .	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\* Directive 2014/23/EU on the award of concession contracts, Directive 2014/24/EU on public procurement, Directive 2014/25/EU on procurement by entities operating in the water, energy, transport and postal services sectors.

If you have comments concerning any of the statements above, please provide them here.

## Section 6: Resilience

Are the directives still relevant and adequate given the changing circumstances?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
The directives are fit for purpose to contribute to the <b>EU's strategic autonomy</b> * (including the security of EU supply chains).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives are fit for purpose <b>in urgent situations</b> , allowing contracting authorities to procure works, goods and services in a timely manner and even make purchases more quickly when necessary.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives are fit for purpose if there are <b>major supply shortages</b> (e.g. supply-chain disruptions during a health, energy or security crisis).	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The directives are fit for purpose to ensure that <b>security considerations</b> are properly addressed by the contracting authorities.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\* EU strategic autonomy refers to the capacity of the EU to act autonomously. That means not being dependent on other countries in strategically important policy areas.

If you have comments concerning any of the statements above, please provide them here.

## Comparisons

---

### Section 7: Below EU thresholds procurement

When compared with procurement **below EU thresholds\***, carrying out transactions under the directives' rules is ...

	Always	Very often	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	I don't know
simpler	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
better value for money	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
faster	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more transparent and fair	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more professional	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
subject to more competition	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more environmentally friendly	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more socially responsible	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more supportive for innovation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
better in preventing corruption	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\* Thresholds are as follows (approximately): (i) works or concession contracts worth more than €5.5 million; (ii) supply or service contracts with public authorities worth more than €140 000; and (iii) supply or service contracts in the water, energy or transport sectors worth more than €440 000.

### Section 8: Private procurement

When compared with **private procurement**, selling under the directives' rules is

...

	Always	Very often	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	I don't know
simpler	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
better value for money	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



faster	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more transparent and fair	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more professional	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
subject to more competition	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more environmentally friendly	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more socially responsible	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
more supportive for innovation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
better in preventing corruption	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Thank you for your contribution. Please feel free to provide further comments or attach a file summarising your position on the directives' evaluation.

### Please upload your file(s)

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

### Contact

GROW-C2@ec.europa.eu